

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.



Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

CRIMINAL LIABILITY OF MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS: A LEGAL OVERVIEW

AUTHORED BY - MADHUR KAPOOR & ARYAN SINGH RAJPUT

Abstract

Medical practitioners have a duty towards their patients for treatment and many times used to take on-the-spot decisions of life and death. At some point, however, negligence rises to the level of criminal activity and the law must step in to deal with the aftermath. To this end, this research explores the concept of criminal liability in relation to medical practitioners to draw a clearer line between criminal conduct and civil negligence. We examine the legal frameworks that govern criminal responsibility, the standards of proof necessary, and precedent cases in various jurisdictions. Our purpose in the investigation is to bring clarity to the borders of criminal responsibility for health care providers as well as make visible how this liability influences all Dutch healthcare.

Introduction

Risk is an inherent concern in the medical profession, and within the boundaries of reasonable care and expertise, professionals in the field are most often shielded from liability to an extent. Nevertheless, criminal liability may come into the fray where the conduct or omission of a medical practitioner leads to harm or death. The issue of culpability of medical personnel remains an area of law that has high levels of complexity due to the need for merging criminal law with medical practice. The objective of this paper is to consider those specific situations, when a medical practitioner is susceptible to prosecution, draw a line between it and civil malpractice, how this effect perpetrates on the profession.

Legal Framework of Criminal Liability for Medical Professionals

1.1 Definition of Criminal Liability

Criminal liability is the state of being responsible or accountable for an act or failure to act which is criminalized. Criminal liability is where an individual engages with systematic validating the law whilst civil liability is where a party suffers some injury and is compensated monetarily. In the case of medical doctors, criminal liability occurs when they are negligent

but severally more than ordinary negligence will allow such as reckless negligence or intention to do harm.

1.2 Elements of Criminal Liability

To establish criminal liability, the below discussed elements must in most cases be proved.

1. **Actus Reus (The Guilty Act):** The physician must have done something wrong or not done anything at all when warranted.
2. **Mens Rea (Guilty mind):** The Professional must have a mental state deemed to result in liability such as intention, recklessness or gross negligence. Mens Rea was clearly defined in the case of **R vs Mishra**¹. The court, herein, observed that the doctors showed the signs of negligence in dealing with the patient.
3. **Causation:** As observed in the case of **R vs Jordan**², There must be a direct cause-effect relationship between the medical professional's actions/omissions and the damage to the patient.
4. **Injury or Harm:** An injury (or damages) resulting from the medical professional's behaviour.

The threshold for proving criminal liability is higher than for civil liability, requiring proof "beyond a reasonable doubt."

1.3 Types of Crimes Medical Professionals May Be Charged With

Gross negligence: (which is severe) arises when a medical professional's actions diverge significantly from the accepted standards of care, leading to the tragic demise of a patient. This particular form of negligence is marked by a blatant disregard for the safety and well-being of individuals in their care. It was clearly defined in the case of **People vs. Eulo**³.

Involuntary manslaughter: It occurs when a patient succumbs to a medical practitioner's reckless or negligent actions, even if there is no intent to cause death. The distinction between these two categories is critical. It underscores the nuances of intent and responsibility within the realm of medical practice.

¹ <https://vlex.co.uk/vid/r-v-misra-amit-793066645>

² <https://ipsaloquitur.com/criminal-law/cases/r-v-jordan-james-clinton/>

³ <https://casetext.com/case/people-v-eulo>

Fraud and misrepresentation: It can manifest when healthcare providers falsify essential records or misrepresent their qualifications. This unethical behavior undermines the trust placed in medical professionals (and, consequently, can have dire consequences for patients). In the case of **United States vs. Krizek**⁴, the doctors were held liable for fraud and misrepresentation, because they were found to be engaging in false billing.

- **Battery and assault:** charges might be levied against a medical professional who performs a procedure without obtaining proper consent. Although consent is a fundamental ethical principle in medicine, violations can result in severe legal consequences. In the case of **Mohr vs. Williams**⁵, the doctor was held liable for battery, because he conducted surgery on the victim's left ear, even though he was only authorized to operate on the right ear. This case illustrates the importance of securing explicit consent; however, it also highlights the complexities involved in medical practice.
- **Intentional Harm**
Although rare, there are instances in which healthcare practitioners deliberately cause harm to their patients. This disconcerting occurrence may result in serious allegations, including assault, battery, or even murder. Intentional harm is not just a breach of legal codes; it is also a violation of the ethical obligation of care that medical professionals (are required) to maintain toward those they assist. However, the repercussions of such behavior can be catastrophic, both for the victims and the broader medical community. This raises significant ethical questions, because it challenges the very foundation of trust that patients place in their caregivers.

1. Assault and Battery in Medicine

In the medical field, incidents of assault and battery occur when a healthcare provider either threatens to cause harm or actually injures a patient without obtaining their consent. Consent is a fundamental principle of medical ethics; if a doctor performs a procedure without the patient's informed consent, they may face criminal charges for

⁴ <https://law.justia.com/cases/federal/district-courts/FSupp/859/5/1396632/>

⁵ <https://www.casebriefs.com/blog/law/torts/torts-keyed-to-epstein/intentionally-inflicted-harm-the-prima-facie-case-and-defenses/mohr-v-williams/>

battery. For instance, if a surgeon operates on a patient without their approval or deliberately inflicts injury during the procedure, they could indeed encounter serious legal ramifications. The same conclusion was reached in the case of *Mohr v. Williams*. This scenario can arise if, for example, a doctor operates on the wrong part of the body or intentionally deviates from the established protocol, or does so without the patient's consent. However, although such incidents are relatively rare, they represent significant violations of patient rights, which cannot be ignored.

2. Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide

A notable area in which deliberate harm raises legal issues is euthanasia, or assisted suicide. In several nations, such practices are classified as illegal; hence, doctors who assist patients in terminating their lives may confront charges of murder or manslaughter. However, in regions where euthanasia is sanctioned, stringent protocols and regulations (including comprehensive psychological evaluations) must be followed. If a medical professional violates these established boundaries, they could face criminal prosecution. A striking example of intentional harm is the infamous case of Dr. Harold Shipman—a British doctor convicted of killing hundreds of his patients over a prolonged period. Shipman deliberately administered lethal doses of painkillers to vulnerable elderly patients, ultimately leading to their demise. His actions not only astonished the medical community, but they also spurred significant reforms in patient safety and oversight of healthcare providers. Shipman's case illustrates the most nefarious elements of medical malpractice—where the physician not only disregarded ethical principles but also engaged in outright murder.

- **Drug-Related Offenses**

Healthcare professionals possess the capability to acquire controlled substances, which occasionally leads to their involvement in drug-related offenses—something that can be quite alarming. Such violations may manifest in various forms, including the unlawful prescription of medications, personal misuse, or the illicit sale of drugs. Because they have the authority to issue prescriptions, doctors are presented with a unique opportunity to exploit this privilege; however, such actions result in severe legal consequences. The opioid crisis, particularly in countries like the United States, has illuminated the role that certain healthcare providers play in substance misuse. For example, physicians who prescribe an excessive amount of addictive medications, such

as opioids, may find themselves facing criminal charges. At times, some doctors have even been convicted of running "pill mills," which are operations where excessive narcotics are prescribed to patients without any legitimate medical justification (this situation is especially troubling).

Although physicians often assert that they prioritize the well-being of their patients (which is a noble claim), those who prescribe excessive amounts of opioids can face serious consequences. This is primarily because they are aware that their patients may become addicted or engage in illegal distribution of these substances. However, the legal system does not take these offenses lightly; they are pursued vigorously due to the undeniable social ramifications of drug dependency and the tragic reality of fatal overdoses. But it remains crucial to consider the complexities surrounding these situations.

2. Drug Misuse and Diversion

Healthcare providers frequently encounter allegations regarding the misuse of pharmaceuticals, whether for personal consumption or for illicit distribution. When a physician or nurse is discovered utilizing prescription medications from their own stock (or distributing them to others), they may confront grave legal ramifications. Potential criminal charges could include possession, theft, or trafficking of controlled substances. However, the repercussions extend beyond mere legal issues; this predicament can tarnish reputations and adversely affect professional trajectories. Although it might seem appealing to engage in such conduct, it is crucial to recognize the potential consequences of these choices, for they can lead to significant personal and vocational challenges.

Ultimately, these offenses reflect a breach of duty that can have profound implications for both patients and practitioners alike.

1.4 Regulatory Frameworks and Medical Ethics

It is important to state that medical practitioners work under a policy framework that is guided by different professions and the law. In several countries, there exist medical bodies such as General Medical Council in the UK or American Medical Association which inform legal framework of specific countries with their ethical norms regarding medical activity. Breaches of these policies, in particular serious breaches, may result in disciplinary measures, up to and

including a ban on practice or revocation of medical license. However, where these rules are breached, they are also breached at a point where criminal laws are broken hence criminal liability comes in.

Distinguishing Criminal Liability from Civil Liability

2.1 Civil Negligence and Medical Malpractice

Medical malpractice is usually addressed in legal frameworks which are controlled more by civil law than any other legal regime, where a patient sues a physician for tort. Out of these cases, the standard of proof is on the “balance of probabilities,” as where the legal burden is lower than where the matter’s adjudication is criminal. A determination of negligence may be found but that does not necessarily pertain to the area where an individual may be criminally accountable.

2.2 Gross Negligence and Recklessness

There is a possibility of a civil claim crossing over a criminal one which is mostly at the point of negligence evolving into gross negligence or recklessness. An example of gross negligence includes a pay check professional taking a violent step, he knows excessive care must be taken to avoid inflicting considerable harm. Recklessness involves a mental state in which a person consciously disregards a known and substantial risk.

2.3 Case Law Examples

2.3. In the pivotal case of **R v. Adomako (1994)**⁶ – UK, an anesthetist (whose oversight was critical) neglected to observe that a patient's oxygen supply had been disconnected, ultimately resulting in the patient's demise. The court determined that his conduct amounted to gross negligence manslaughter, primarily because he failed to uphold the expected standard of care within his professional domain.

2.4 Similarly, in the **Dr. Conrad Murray case** ⁷(2011) – US, the personal physician of renowned singer Michael Jackson was convicted of involuntary manslaughter (this was a significant legal outcome). Murray was found guilty after he administered a fatal dose of the anesthetic propofol; however, the court ruled his actions as reckless. This conclusion stemmed from his inability to ensure proper monitoring and the availability of emergency equipment,

⁶ <https://e-lawresources.co.uk/R-v-Adomako.php>

⁷ <https://case-law.vlex.com/vid/people-v-murray-b237677-889158022>

which was essential for the patient's safety.

Challenges in Prosecuting Medical Professionals

3.1 The Difficulty of Proving Mens Rea

Proving 'mens rea', the guilty state of the individual is one of the problems in implicating the breach of law by different medical practitioners. In many situations, the professional does not wish to do wrong, but rather due to carelessness, mistakes are made which later on result to injury or even death. Knowing how to differentiate basic errors from deliberate criminal acts comes down to an in-depth understanding of the professional's mind as at the time of the occurrence.

3.2 The Role of Expert Testimony

An expert opinion is of great importance in any case dealing with medical malpractice, especially regarding the issue of the negligence standard, whether the actions vary from it or not. The input of these experts enables the court to ascertain if the relevant actions of the medical practitioner were in line with the expected threshold or the injury inflicted was caused by the practitioner's actions only.

3.3 The Fear of Defensive Medicine

Because of the threat of civil prosecution, this results in the notion of defensive medicine where medical practitioners practice excessively in order to prevent being held liable for any negative outcomes. In turn, this leads to increased costs of medical care, and even worse leads to declining quality of care, because doctors would shun certain patients or procedures.

The Impact of Criminal Liability on Medical Practice

4.1 Deterrent Effect

Proponents of criminal liability argue that doctors should be held accountable, and criminal liability is an effective deterrent that makes sure the best care possible is given by medical professionals. And wear-and-tear prosecutions encourage professionals to follow guidelines to the letter, as nobody wants to take any risks.

4.2 The Dark Side

However, there is potential downside to this criminalization of medical errors. It might

discourage people from choosing high-risk specialties, produce a culture of defensive medicine and not allow fully openness in confession of medical errors. Criminal responsibility further undermines the fact that practicing medicine necessarily entails an element of professional decision-making whose performance under high stakes may be marred in error.

4.3 Legal reforms and protections

In response to the chilling effect of potential criminal liability, some territories have enacted statutory changes. For instance, some countries have legal safeguards for health care providers to tell people freely about mistakes as a part of a safe harbour. The bottom line is that these reforms try to strike a balance between accountability and the realities of medical practice.

Conclusion

Criminal liability for medical professionals presents a contentious dilemma that necessitates a nuanced equilibrium between safeguarding patients and acknowledging the inherent risks associated with medical practice. Although gross negligence and reckless conduct must be addressed through criminal sanctions, overly aggressive prosecutions may, however, impede the medical profession's capacity to function effectively. This evolving landscape calls for legal frameworks to adapt continuously, ensuring that medical professionals are held accountable for their actions without stifling the practice of medicine. A clear understanding of the boundaries of criminal liability—combined with appropriate safeguards—is essential (for maintaining trust) in both the legal and healthcare systems.